Data collection

Siemens R3m diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.021$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.54^{\circ}$
Absorption correction:	$h = 0 \rightarrow 18$
ψ scan (North <i>et al.</i> ,	$k = 0 \rightarrow 14$
1968)	$l = -18 \rightarrow 17$
$T_{\rm min} = 0.52, T_{\rm max} = 0.64$	2 standard reflections
2722 measured reflections	every 120 reflections
2628 independent reflections	intensity decay: 1.0%
2236 reflections with	

 $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F ²	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.091$	$\Delta ho_{\rm min}$ = -0.42 e Å ⁻³
S = 1.07	Extinction correction: none
2625 reflections	Scattering factors from
152 parameters	International Tables for
H atoms riding	Crystallography (Vol. C)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0416P)^2]$	
+ 2.9507 <i>P</i>]	
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ $U_{-} = (1/3) \sum \sum U^{ij} a^{i} a^{j} a^{j} a^{j} a^{j}$

$O_{eq} = (1/3) \square [\square] O $ a a u_{e} .				
	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Nil	0	0.22794 (3)	1/4	0.02252 (12)
N1	0	0.4198 (2)	1/4	0.0277 (5)
N2	0	1.0395 (2)	1/4	0.0292 (6)
C1	0.0709 (2)	0.4813 (2)	0.2948 (2)	0.0292 (5)
C2	0.0735 (2)	0.6016 (2)	0.2968 (2)	0.0298 (5)
C3	0	0.6650(2)	1/4	0.0256 (6)
C4	0	0.7944 (2)	1/4	0.0267 (6)
C5	-0.0828 (2)	0.8575 (2)	0.2369 (2)	0.0313 (5)
C6	-0.0794 (2)	0.9782 (2)	0.2358 (2)	0.0321 (5)
N3	0.13429 (14)	0.2369 (2)	0.19467 (14)	0.0319 (4)
N4	-0.06264 (14)	0.2286 (2)	0.10513(13)	0.0317 (4)
C7	0.1539 (2)	0.1753 (2)	0.1048 (2)	0.0422 (6)
C8	0.0814 (2)	0.2036 (3)	0.0214 (2)	0.0487 (7)
C9	-0.0168 (2)	0.1595 (2)	0.0323 (2)	0.0432 (6)
Cll	0.30158 (4)	-0.05766 (5)	-0.06716 (4)	0.0389 (2)
01	0.2729 (2)	-0.1459 (2)	-0.1360 (2)	0.0670 (6)
O2	0.40058 (15)	-0.0623 (2)	-0.0436 (2)	0.0651 (6)
O3	0.2552 (2)	-0.0769 (3)	0.0175 (2)	0.0799 (8)
04	0.2762 (2)	0.0530 (2)	-0.1075 (2)	0.0861 (9)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

√i1—N4	2.131 (2)	Ni1—N2 ⁱ	2.165 (3)
li1—N3	2.145 (2)	Nil—Nl	2.205 (3)
14—Ni1—N3	88.39 (8)	C1—N1—Ni1	121.76 (13)
14 ⁿ —Ni1—N3	91.59 (8)	C6—N2—Ni1 ^m	121.78 (13)
14—Ni1—N1	89.79 (5)	C7—N3—Ni1	121.8 (2)
13—Ni1—N1	87.24 (5)	C9—N4—Nil	118.0 (2)
ymmetry codes: (i)	(x, y - 1, z; (ii))	$(-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z; (iii) x,$	1 + y, z.
I3—Ni1—N1 Symmetry codes: (i)	87.24(5) (<i>x</i> , <i>y</i> - 1, <i>z</i> ; (ii)	C9—N4—Nil) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ (iii) $x,$	118.0 (2 1 + y, z.

Data collection: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1990). Cell refinement: SHELXTL-Plus. Data reduction: SHELXTL-Plus. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus. Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

We acknowledge financial support by the NSFC (29625102). We are also indebted to the Chemistry

1.0%

Department of The Chinese University of Hong Kong for donation of the R3m diffractometer.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1408). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Chen, X.-M., Tong, M.-L., Luo, Y.-J. & Chen, Z.-N. (1996). Aust. J. Chem. 49, 835-838.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). ORTEP-3 for Windows. Version 1.02 Beta. University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Fujita, M., Kwon, Y. J., Washizu, S. & Ogura, K. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 1151-1152.
- Gable, R. W., Hoskins, B. F. & Robson, R. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1677-1678.
- Julve, M., Verdaguer, M., Faus, J., Tinti, F., Moratal, J., Monge, A. & Gutierrez-Puebla, E. (1987). Inorg. Chem. 26, 3520-3527.
- MacGillovray, L. R., Subramanian, S. & Zaworotko, M. J. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1325-1326.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL-Plus. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Slangan, P. M., Koningsbruggen, P. J., Haasnoot, J. G., Jansen, J., Gorter, S., Reedijk, J., Kooijman, H., Smeets, W. J. J. & Spek, A. L. (1993). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 212, 289-301.

Stephens, F. S. & Vagg, R. S. (1980). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 42, 139-143.

- Tong, M.-L., Cai, J. W., Yu, X.-L., Chen, X.-M., Ng, S. W. & Mak, T. C. W. (1998). Aust. J. Chem. 51, 637-641.
- Tong, M.-L., Chen, X.-M., Yu, X.-L. & Mak, T. C. W. (1998). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 5-6.
- Tong, M.-L., Ye, B.-H., Cai, J.-W., Chen, X.-M. & Ng, S. W. (1998). Inorg. Chem. 37, 2645-2650.
- Xu, Y. & Su, S. (1990). Acta Chim. Sin. 44, 336-342.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 182-186

Tricarbonyl[1,1,1-tris(dimethylphosphinomethyl)ethane]chromium(0), -molybdenum(0) and -tungsten(0) complexes

TAKAYOSHI SUZUKI, TAKESHI IMAMURA AND SUMIO Kaizaki

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan. E-mail: suzuki@chem.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

(Received 6 May 1998; accepted 28 September 1998)

Abstract

The structures of chromium(0), molybdenum(0) and tungsten(0)-tricarbonyl complexes containing the tripodal tridentate phosphine ligand 1,1,1-tris(dimethylphosphinomethyl)ethane (tdmme) (systematic name: $\{2-[(dimethylphosphino)methyl]-2-methyl-1, 3-propane$ $diyl}bis(dimethylphosphine)), were determined by X-ray$ diffraction studies. The*M*—C (*M*= Cr, Mo and W)bond lengths in the complexes, [*M*(C₁₁H₂₇P₃)(CO)₃],are noticeably shorter than those in the correspondinghexacarbonyl complexes owing to the*trans*influence oftdmme being weaker than that of CO. The Mo—P bondlengths in the tdmme complex are slightly shorter thanthose in the analogous 1,1,1-tris(diphenylphosphinomethyl)ethane and 1,5,9-tris(isopropyl)-1,5,9-triphosphacyclododecane complexes, indicating the smaller stericrequirement of tdmme.

Comment

Although a large number of group 6 metal carbonyls containing phosphine ligands have been characterized by X-ray diffraction, a limited number of systematic studies are reported for direct comparison of the structures with the triad metal carbonyls containing the same phosphines. For example, only one series of $[M(CO)_3(P)_3]$ -type (M = Cr, Mo and W) complexes has been reported for dinuclear hexacarbonyl complexes with hexadentate phosphine, $[{M(CO)_3}_2]_{\mu}$ - $(Et_2PCH_2CH_2)_2PCH_2P(CH_2CH_2PEt_2)_2$] (Saum et al., 1988). We report here the X-ray structure analyses of the chromium(0), (I), molybdenum(0), (II), and tungsten(0), (III), tricarbonyls containing MeC(CH₂PMe₂)₃ (tdmme), and make a comparison of their M—C and M—P bond lengths with those of the related hexacarbonyl and tricarbonyl complexes.



The chromium complex, (I), crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 8; two crystallographically independent molecules (complex molecules 1 and 2) are present in the asymmetric unit. The structures of the two molecules are essentially similar to each other, but the twist angles of the three arms of tripodal phosphine are slightly different. The molybdenum, (II), and the tungsten, (III), complexes are isomorphous and crystallize in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with Z = 4. The molecular structures of these three metal carbonyls are comparable to each other, as shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

The average M—C bond lengths in complexes (I), (II) and (III) are 1.828, 1.976 and 1.975 Å, respectively. These bond lengths are comparable to those in the above-mentioned dinuclear complexes, $[{M(CO)_3}_2-$ { μ -(Et₂PCH₂CH₂)₂PCH₂P(CH₂CH₂PEt₂)₂}], but are noticeably shorter than those in the corresponding hexacarbonyl complexes: 1.910 Å in [Cr(CO)₆] (Whitaker & Jeffery, 1967), 2.059 Å in [Mo(CO)₆] (Mak, 1984) and 2.027 Å in $[W(CO)_6]$ (Heinemann et al., 1992). These differences in M-C bond lengths result from the weaker trans influence of tdmme compared with CO. Interestingly, the extent of M—C bond shortening in the triad metal series is not in a periodical order: 0.082 for Cr, 0.083 for Mo and 0.052 Å for W. A similar non-periodical order of *trans* influence among the d^6 triad metal ions is observed for fac-[M'(NH₂CH₂CH₂P- $Me_{2}_{3}^{3+}$ ($M' = Co^{III}$, Rh^{III} and Ir^{III}) complexes (Suzuki et al., 1994). Since the Mo-C and W-C bond lengths in complexes (II) and (III) are almost equal to each other, this non-periodical order of the *trans* influence of CO is mainly caused by $[W(CO)_6]$ having weaker π back donation than $[Mo(CO)_6]$.

Comparison of Mo—P bond lengths in complex (II) (average 2.483(1) Å) with those in the related



Fig. 1. The molecular structures of the two crystallographically independent chromium complex molecules, (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

 $fac-[Mo(CO)_3(P)_3]$ -type complexes reveals the steric effect of phosphines on the elongation of Mo-P bonds. The corresponding molybdenum tricarbonyls of $MeC(CH_2PPh_2)_3$, which is the diphenylphosphino analogue of tdmme (Walter et al., 1993), and of 1,5,9tris(isopropyl)-1,5,9-triphosphacyclododecane (Coles et al., 1995) give slightly longer Mo-P bonds (2.516 and 2.527 Å, respectively), while that of 1,5,9-triphosphacyclododecane (Diel et al., 1989) shows slightly shorter Mo-P bonds (2.476 Å). These differences in bond lengths are rather small, but clearly illustrate the steric requirement of the tridentate phosphines: $cyclo-(PHCH_2CH_2CH_2)_3 < MeC(CH_2PMe_2)_3 < MeC(CH_2PMe_2)$ $MeC(CH_2PPh_2)_3 < cyclo-(P^iPrCH_2CH_2CH_2)_3$.



Fig. 2. The molecular structure of the molybdenum complex, (II), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Fig. 3. The molecular structure of the tungsten complex, (III), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Experimental

The title complexes were prepared by reaction of $[M(CO)_6]$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) and tdmme (molar ratio 1:1) in mesitylene at 413 K for 24 h, and recrystallized from a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and MeOH.

Compound (I)

Crystal data

 $[Cr(C_{11}H_{27}P_3)(CO)_3]$ $M_r = 388.28$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 15.684(3) Å b = 16.170(3) Å c = 17.222(3) Å $\beta = 116.75(1)^{\circ}$ $V = 3900(1) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.322 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

eter

 ω -2 θ scans

1968)

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractom-

Absorption correction:

11 384 independent

reflections

Refinement Refinement on F

R = 0.057

S = 1.53

C

wR = 0.060

6367 reflections

379 parameters

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)$

H atoms not refined

+ $0.00010|F_o|^2$]

 ψ scan (North *et al.*,

 $T_{\rm min} = 0.780, T_{\rm max} = 0.846$

11 758 measured reflections

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10.19 - 14.02^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.838 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296.2 KPrism $0.30\,\times\,0.20\,\times\,0.20$ mm Colorless

6367 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 22$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 22$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 21$ 3 standard reflections every 150 reflections intensity decay: 0.12%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.46 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.41 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I)

Cr1—P11	2.333(1)	Cr2-C212	1.839 (5)
Cr1-P21	2.328(1)	Cr2—C222	1.833 (4)
Cr1—P31	2.345(1)	Cr2-C232	1.825 (5)
Cr1-C211	1.821 (4)	O11—C211	1.166 (5)
Cr1-C221	1.818 (5)	O12—C212	1.156 (5)
Cr1-C231	1.829 (5)	O21—C221	1.165 (5)
Cr2—P12	2.336(1)	O22—C222	1.162 (5)
Cr2—P22	2.335(1)	O31—C231	1.157 (5)
Cr2—P32	2.335(1)	O32—C232	1.168 (5)
P11—Cr1—P21	86.23 (4)	P22-Cr2-P32	85.18 (4)
P11-Cr1-P31	84.51 (4)	P22—Cr2—C222	176.6 (2)
P11-Cr1-C211	176.2 (2)	P32-Cr2-C232	177.1 (1)
P21-Cr1-P31	85.24 (4)	C212—Cr2—C222	91.7 (2)
P21-Cr1-C221	175.8(2)	C212-Cr2-C232	90.2 (2)
P31-Cr1-C231	176.3 (2)	C222-Cr2-C232	89.3 (2)
C211—Cr1—C221	89.9 (2)	Cr1-C211-011	177.8 (4)
C211-Cr1-C231	90.4 (2)	Cr2-C212-O12	178.4 (4)
C221_Cr1_C231	90.0(2)	Crl. C221 021	178 4 (5)

TAKAYOSHI SUZUKI et al.

P12Cr2P22 P12Cr2P32 P12Cr2C212	84.95 (4) 85.45 (5) 175.3 (1)	Cr2—C222—O22 178.5 (4) Cr1—C231—O31 178.3 (5) Cr2—C232—O32 177.7 (4)) (
Cr1—P11—C31—C21 Cr1—P21—C41—C21 Cr1—P31—C51—C21 Cr2—P12—C32—C22 Cr2—P22—C42—C22 Cr2—P32—C52—C22	$\begin{array}{c} -16.9 (4) \\ -15.3 (5) \\ -13.6 (4) \\ 23.3 (4) \\ 25.2 (4) \\ 23.1 (4) \end{array}$	P11-C31-C21-C11 -171.0 (3 P21-C41-C21-C11 -170.9 (4 P31-C51-C21-C11 -170.9 (4 P31-C51-C21-C11 -171.1 (3 P12-C32-C22-C12 166.5 (3 P22-C42-C22-C12 164.6 (3 P32-C52-C22-C12 167.0 (3))))))))))))
Compound (II) Crystal data			
$[Mo(C_{11}H_{27}P_3)(CO)]{M_r} = 432.23$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$)3]	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\alpha = 14.76$, 15,02°	
a = 8.601 (2) A b = 16.324 (4) Å c = 14.422 (2) Å $\beta = 96.59 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 2011.4 (7) Å^{3}$		$\mu = 0.895 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296.2 K Prism $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.38 \text{ mm}$]

Z = 4

 $D_x = 1.427 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractom-

Absorption correction:

 ψ scan (North *et al.*,

6204 measured reflections

5854 independent reflections

 D_m not measured

Data collection

eter

 ω -2 θ scans

1968)

Refinement

R = 0.041

S = 1.75

wR = 0.050

Refinement on F

4492 reflections

190 parameters H atoms not refined

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)]$

 $+ 0.00010 |F_o|^2$]

4492 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.012$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 22$ $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$ $T_{\rm min} = 0.662, T_{\rm max} = 0.712$ 3 standard reflections every 150 reflections intensity decay: 0.39%

Colorless

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II)

Mo—P1	2.494 (1)	Mo-C23	1.973 (4)
Mo-P2	2.4747 (9)	O1C21	1.144 (5)
Mo—P3	2.480(1)	O2—C22	1.149 (5)
Mo-C21	1.981 (4)	O3—C23	1.160 (4)
Mo-C22	1.973 (4)		
P1-Mo-P2	82.82 (3)	C21—Mo—C22	90.1 (2)
P1—Mo—P3	83.02 (3)	C21-Mo-C23	91.6 (2)
P1MoC21	173.2(1)	C22—Mo—C23	91.4 (2)
P2-Mo-P3	83.60(3)	Mo-C21O1	178.5 (4)
P2MoC22	173.1(1)	Mo-C22O2	176.0 (5)
P3MoC23	175.1(1)	Mo-C23-O3	179.0 (3)
Mo-P1-C3-C2	-19.3 (3)	P1-C3-C2-C1	-168.8 (3)
Mo-P2-C4-C2	-22.4 (4)	P2-C4-C2-C1	-166.6 (3)
Mo-P3-C5-C2	-22.2 (4)	P3C5C2C1	-165.7 (3)

Com	po	und	(Ш
_	_	-	

Crystal data

 $[W(C_{11}H_{27}P_3)(CO)_3]$ $M_r = 520.14$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ $a = 8.566 (1) \text{ \AA}$ *b* = 16.281 (2) Å c = 14.410 (2) Å $\beta = 96.77 (1)^{\circ}$ V = 1995.5 (4) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.731 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R diffractometer ω –2 θ scans Absorption correction: by integration (Coppens et al., 1965) $T_{\rm min} = 0.155, T_{\rm max} = 0.326$ 6158 measured reflections 5808 independent reflections

Refinement

w

w

Refinement on FR = 0.027wR = 0.035S = 1.384703 reflections 190 parameters H atoms not refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)]$ $+ 0.000225 |F_o|^2$] Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 14.9 - 15.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 6.041 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296.2 KPrismatic $0.48 \times 0.36 \times 0.22$ mm Colorless

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.84 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.94 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none
Scattering factors from
International Tables for
Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 3. Sele	ected geometri	c parameters ('Ă, °) for (III)
'—P1	2.475 (1)	WC23	1.969 (5)
'—P2	2.477 (1)	O1C21	1.153 (6)

W—P3	2.490(1)	O2—C22	1.151 (5)
WC21	1.977 (5)	O3C23	1.153 (6)
WC22	1.979 (4)		
P1	83.53 (4)	C21—W—C22	92.0 (2)
P1—W—P3	82.88 (4)	C21—W—C23	90.0 (2)
P1-W-C21	172.6(1)	C22—W—C23	91.5 (2)
P2—W—P3	83.01 (4)	WC21O1	176.9 (5)
P2—W—C22	175.4 (1)	WC22O2	178.5 (4)
P3WC23	173.1 (2)	WC23O3	178.2 (5)
W-P1-C3-C2	-23.6 (4)	P1-C3-C2-C1	- 166.6 (3)
W—P2—C4—C2	-24.0 (4)	P2-C4-C2-C1	- 164.3 (3)
W-P3-C5-C2	-20.5(4)	P3C5C2C1	-167.7(3)

For all compounds, data collection: Rigaku/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Rigaku Co. Ltd, 1985); cell refinement: Rigaku/AFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structures: TEXSAN; molecular graphics: ORTEP (Johnson, 1970) in TEXSAN; software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

This work was in part supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Reseach No. 09740489 from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OA1069). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Coles, S. J., Edwards, P. G., Fleming, J. S. & Hursthouse, M. B. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1139–1145.
- Coppens, P., Leiserowitz, L. & Rabinovich, D. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 1035–1038.
- Diel, B. N., Brandt, P. F., Haltiwanger, C., Hackner, M. L. J. & Norman, A. D. (1989). *Inorg. Chem.* 28, 2811–2816.
- Heinemann, F., Schmidt, H., Peters, K. & Thiery, D. (1992). Z. Kristallogr. 198, 123-124.
- Johnson, C. K. (1970). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, 2nd revision. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mak, T. C. W. (1984). Z. Kristallogr. 166, 277-281.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1995). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.7-2. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Rigaku Co. Ltd (1985). Rigaku/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Rigaku Co. Ltd, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan.
- Saum, S. E., Askham, F. R., Fronczek, F. R. & Stanley, G. G. (1988). Organometallics, 7, 1409–1416.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Suzuki, T., Rude, M., Simonsen, K. P., Morooka, M., Tanaka, H., Ohba, S., Galsbøl, F. & Fujita, J. (1994). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 67, 1013–1023.
- Walter, O., Klein, T., Huttner, G. & Zsolnai, L. (1993). J. Organomet. Chem. 458, 63-81.
- Whitaker, A. & Jeffery, J. W. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 977-984.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 186-188

Aquabis(2,2'-bipyridine-N,N')(perchlorato-O)manganese(II) perchlorate

YOLANDA RODRÍGUEZ-MARTÍN, JAVIER GONZÁLEZ-PLATAS AND CATALINA RUIZ-PÉREZ

Grupo de Rayos X, Departamento Física Fundamental y Experimental, Universidad de La Laguna, Avda. Astrofísico Fco. Sánchez s/n, E-38204, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. E-mail: caruiz@ull.es

(Received 23 June 1998; accepted 21 September 1998)

Abstract

The crystal structure of the title compound, $[Mn(ClO_4)-(C_{10}H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)]ClO_4$, contains monomeric $[Mn(bipy)_2-(ClO_4)(H_2O)]^+$ cations (bipy is 2,2'-bipyridine) in which

the Mn^{II} ion is surrounded by a pair of chelating bipy ligands [Mn—N 2.222 (5)–2.228 (5) Å], an aqua ligand [Mn—O 2.142 (4) Å] and a perchlorate ligand [Mn— O 2.289 (5) Å] in a distorted octahedral arrangement. Adjacent cations are linked into dimers by pairs of O···O hydrogen bonds [O···O 2.795 (7) Å].

Comment

Manganese complexes have attracted considerable interest recently because of the frequent occurrence of such metal centres in biological systems (Wieghardt, 1989). The complexation behaviour of 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) or bipy-related ligands with Mn ions gives rise to a wide variety of coordination complexes, depending on the nature of the bipy ligand, the oxidation state of the Mn ion and the nature of the other coordinating ligands. Mn^{II} complexes with bipy-type ligands are well known: there are over 100 structures of this type in the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen & Kennard, 1993).

The crystal structure of the title compound, (I), consists of discrete $[Mn(bipy)_2(ClO_4)(H_2O)]^+$ cations and perchlorate anions. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the Mn^{11} ion in each cation is in a distorted octahedral environment, coordinated by a pair of *cis*-related chelating bipy ligands, one aqua ligand and one O atom of the ClO_4^- ligand.



The bipyridine groups exhibit their usual acute N···N bite distance $[N1 \cdot \cdot \cdot N2 \ 2.670 \ (7)$ and $N3 \cdot \cdot \cdot N4 \ 2.652 \ (7) Å]$ and N—Mn—N angles $[N1-Mn1-N2 \ 73.75 \ (18)$ and N3—Mn1—N4 $73.16 \ (17)^{\circ}]$. The values are very close to those found in $[Mn(bipy)_2Cl_2]$ (Lumme & Lindell, 1988), $[Mn(bipy)_2(NCS)_2]$ (Veidis *et al.*, 1981) and $[MnCl(bipy)_2(H_2O)]$ (Chen *et al.*, 1995), in which pairs of bipy ligands are also *cis* related, but are markedly different from those of some other $[M(bipy)_2L_2]^{n+}$ species, in which the two bipy ligands are *trans* related.

Both bipy molecules are nearly planar; the largest deviation from either mean plane is 0.131(7)Å. The mean planes of the two bipy molecules are inclined at 97.77(11)° with respect to one another. The aromatic bond distances of both bipy molecules are normal, within the range 1.324(8)-1.353(6)Å for aromatic N—C bonds and 1.343(9)-1.389(7)Å for aromatic